arXiv:1404.1627v1 [math.FA] 6 Apr 2014

Boundedness of some sublinear operators on Herz-Morrey spaces with variable exponent

Jianglong WU

Department of Mathematics, Mudanjiang Normal University, Mudanjiang 157011, China

Georgian Math. J. 2014; 21 (1):101-111

Abstract: In this paper, the boundedness of some sublinear operators is proved on homogeneous Herz-Morrey spaces with variable exponent.

Keywords: sublinear operator; Lebesgue space with variable exponent; Herz-Morrey space with variable exponent

AMS(2010) Subject Classification: 42B20; 42B35

1 Introduction

Function spaces with variable exponent are being watched with keen interest not in real analysis but also in partial differential equations and in applied mathematics because they are applicable to the modeling for electrorheological fluids and image restoration. The theory of function spaces with variable exponent has rapidly made progress in the past twenty years since some elementary properties were established by Kováčik-Rákosník [18]. One of the main problems on the theory is the boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on variable Lebesgue spaces. By virtue of the fine works [4–6, 8–10, 15, 19, 23, 24], some important conditions on variable exponent, for example, the log-Hölder conditions and the Muckenhoupt type condition, have been obtained.

The class of the Herz spaces is arising from the study on characterization of multipliers on the classical Hardy spaces. The well-known Morrey spaces is used to show that certain systems of partial differential equations (PDEs) had Hölder continuous solutions. And the homogeneous Herz-Morrey spaces $M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coordinate with the homogeneous Herz space $\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $\lambda = 0$. One of the important problems on Herz spaces and Herz-Morrey spaces is the boundedness of sublinear operators. Hernández, Li, Lu and Yang [11, 20, 22] have proved that if a sublinear operator T is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and satisfies the size condition

$$|Tf(x)| \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-y|^{-n} |f(y)| \mathrm{d}y, \quad \text{a. e.} \quad x \notin \operatorname{supp} f \tag{1.1}$$

for all $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support, then T is bounded on the homogeneous Herz space $\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In 2005, Lu and Xu [21] established the boundedness for some sublinear operators on homogeneous Herz-Morrey spaces.

In 2010, Izuki [14] proves the boundedness of some sublinear operators on Herz spaces with variable exponent; and recently Izuki [12, 13] also considers the boundedness of some operators on Herz-Morrey spaces with variable exponent.

Motivated by the study on the Herz spaces and Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent, when (1.1) is replaced by some more general size conditions, the main purpose of this paper is to establish some boundedness results of sublinear operators on Herz-Morrey spaces with variable exponent. This size condition is satisfied by many important operators in harmonic analysis.

Let us explain the outline of this article. In Section 2 we state some important properties of $L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ based on [8, 12, 18, 23], and give some lemmas which will be needed for proving our main theorem. In Section 3 we prove the boundedness of sublinear operators on Herz-Morrey spaces with variable exponent $M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and obtain the corresponding corollaries.

Throughout this paper, we will denote by $|S|$ the Lebesgue measure and by χ_S the characteristic function for a measurable set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. C denotes a constant that is independent of the main parameters involved but whose value may differ from line to line. For any index $1 < q(x) < \infty$, we denote by $q'(x)$ its conjugate index, namely, $q'(x) = \frac{q(x)}{q(x)-1}$. For $A \sim D$, we mean that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that$C^{-1}D \le A \le CD$.

2 Preliminaries and Lemmas

In this section, we give the definition of Lebesgue and Herz-Morrey spaces with variable exponent, and state their properties. Let E be a measurable set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $|E| > 0$. We first define Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent.

Definition 2.1 Let $q(\cdot) : E \to [1, \infty)$ be a measurable function.

1) The Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent $L^{q(\cdot)}(E)$ is defined by

$$L^{q(\cdot)}(E) = \{f \text{ is measurable function} : \int_E \Big(\frac{|f(x)|}{\eta}\Big)^{q(x)} \mathrm{d}x < \infty \text{ for some constant } \eta > 0\}.$$

2) The space $L^{q(\cdot)}_{\mathrm{loc}}(E)$ is defined by

$$L^{q(\cdot)}_{\mathrm{loc}}(E) = \{f \text{ is measurable function} : f \in L^{q(\cdot)}(K) \text{ for all compact subsets } K \subset E\}.$$

$L^{q(\cdot)}(E)$ is a Banach space with the norm defined by

$$\|f\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(E)} = \inf\Big\{\eta > 0 : \int_E \Big(\frac{|f(x)|}{\eta}\Big)^{q(x)} \mathrm{d}x \le 1\Big\}.$$

Now, we define two classes of exponent functions. Given a function $f \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(E)$, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M is defined by

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{r>0} r^{-n} \int_{B(x,r)\cap E} |f(y)| \mathrm{d}y \quad (x \in E),$$

where $B(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - y| < r\}$.

Definition 2.2 1) The set $\mathscr{P}(E)$ consists of all measurable functions $q(\cdot)$ satisfying

$$1 < \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{x\in E} q(x) = q_-, \quad q_+ = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{x\in E} q(x) < \infty.$$

2) The set $\mathscr{B}(E)$ consists of all measurable functions $q(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}(E)$ such that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M is bounded on $L^{q(\cdot)}(E)$.

Next we define the Herz-Morrey spaces with variable exponent. Let $B_k = B(0, 2^k) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \le 2^k\}, A_k = B_k \setminus B_{k-1}$ and $\chi_k = \chi_{A_k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.3 Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \le \lambda < \infty$, $0 < p < \infty$, and $q(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The Herz-Morrey space with variable exponent $M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in L^{q(\cdot)}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \{0\}) : \|f\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty\},$$

where $\|f\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p}\|f\chi_k\|^p_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

Compare the Herz-Morrey space with variable exponent $M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the Herz space with variable exponent $\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [13], where

$$\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \Big\{ f \in L^{q(\cdot)}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \{0\}) : \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha p} \|f\chi_k\|^p_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \Big\}.$$

Obviously, $M\dot{K}^{\alpha,0}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In 2012, Almeida and Drihem [1] discussed the boundedness of a wide class of sublinear operators, including maximal, potential and Calderón-Zygmund operators, on variable Herz spaces $K^{\alpha(\cdot),p}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),p}_{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Meanwhile, they also established Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev theorems for fractional integrals on variable Herz spaces. In other papers [2,16,17] the boundedness of operators of harmonic analysis are established in variable exponent Morrey spaces. In this paper, the author considers Herz-Morrey space $M\dot{K}^{\alpha(\cdot),\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with variable exponent $q(\cdot)$ for fixed $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in (0,\infty)$. However, for the case of the exponent $\alpha(\cdot)$ is variable as well, we can refer to the furthermore work of the author of this paper.

Next we state some properties of variable exponent. Cruz-Uribe et al [6] and Nekvinda [23] proved the following sufficient conditions for the boundedness of M in variable exponent space independently. We note that Nekvinda [23] gave a more general condition in place of (2.2).

Proposition 2.1 [23] Suppose that E is an open set. If $q(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}(E)$ satisfies the inequality

$$|q(x) - q(y)| \le \frac{-C}{\ln(|x-y|)} \quad \text{if } |x-y| \le 1/2, \tag{2.1}$$

$$|q(x) - q(y)| \le \frac{C}{\ln(e+|x|)} \quad \text{if } |y| \ge |x|, \tag{2.2}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of x and y, then we have $q(\cdot) \in \mathscr{B}(E)$.

The next proposition is due to Diening [8](see Theorem 8.1). We remark that Diening has also proved general results on Musielak-Orlicz spaces. We only describe partial results we need in this paper.

Proposition 2.2 [8] Suppose that $q(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $q(\cdot) \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ iff $q'(\cdot) \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In order to prove our main theorems, we also need the following result which is the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev theorem on Lebesgue spaces with varible expoonent due to Capone, Cruz-Uribe and Fiorenza [3](see Theorem 1.8). We remark that this result was proved by Diening [7] provided that $q_1(\cdot)$ is constant outside of a large ball.

Proposition 2.3 [3] Suppose that $q_1(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies conditions (2.1) and (2.2) in Proposition 2.1. $0 < \beta < n/(q_1)_+$ and define $q_2(\cdot)$ by

$$\frac{1}{q_1(x)} - \frac{1}{q_2(x)} = \frac{\beta}{n}.$$

Then we have

$$\|I_\beta f\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|f\|_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where fractional integral I_β is defined by $I_\beta(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\beta}} \mathrm{d}y$.

In addition, by Proposition 2.3, we can obtain

Proposition 2.4 Let $q_1(\cdot)$, $q_2(\cdot)$ and β be the same as in Proposition 2.3. Then we have

$$\|\chi_{B_k}\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C2^{-k\beta}\|\chi_{B_k}\|_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all balls $B_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \le 2^k\}$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof It is easy to see that

$$I_\beta(\chi_{B_k})(x) \geq I_\beta(\chi_{B_k})(x)\cdot\chi_{B_k}(x) = \int_{B_k}\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{|x-y|^{n-\beta}}\cdot\chi_{B_k}(x) \geq C2^{k\beta}\chi_{B_k}(x).$$

Thus, applying Proposition 2.3, we get

$$\|\chi_{B_k}\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C2^{-k\beta}\|I_\beta(\chi_{B_k})\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C2^{-k\beta}\|\chi_{B_k}\|_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.4.

The next lemma describes the generalized Hölder's inequality and the duality of $L^{q(\cdot)}(E)$. The proof can be found in [18].

Lemma 2.1 [18] Suppose that $q(\cdot)\in\mathscr{P}(E)$. Then the following statements hold.

1) (generalized Hölder's inequality) For all $f\in L^{q(\cdot)}(E)$ and all $g\in L^{q'(\cdot)}(E)$, we have

$$\int_E |f(x)g(x)|\mathrm{d}x \leq r_q\|f\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(E)}\|g\|_{L^{q'(\cdot)}(E)}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $r_q = 1+1/q_- - 1/q_+$.

2) For all $f\in L^{q(\cdot)}(E)$, we have

$$\|f\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(E)} \leq \sup\Big\{\int_E |f(x)g(x)|\mathrm{d}x : \|g\|_{L^{q'(\cdot)}(E)}\leq 1\Big\}.$$

Lemma 2.2 [12] If $q(\cdot)\in\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there exist positive constants $\delta\in(0,1)$ and $C>0$ such that

$$\frac{\|\chi_S\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \leq C\Big(\frac{|S|}{|B|}\Big)^\delta \tag{2.4}$$

holds for all balls B in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and all measurable subsets $S\subset B$.

Lemma 2.3 [12] If $q(\cdot)\in\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there exists a positive constant $C>0$ such that

$$C^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{|B|}\|\chi_B\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(E)}\|\chi_B\|_{L^{q'(\cdot)}(E)} \leq C. \tag{2.5}$$

holds for all balls B in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

3 Main theorems and their proofs

Let $q(\cdot)\in\mathscr{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy conditions (2.1) and (2.2) in Proposition 2.1. Then so does $q'(\cdot)$. In particular, we can see that $q(\cdot),\ q'(\cdot)\in\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ from Proposition 2.1. Therefore applying Lemma 2.2, we can take constants $\delta_1\in(0,1/(q')_+), \delta_2\in(0,1/(q)_+)$ such that

$$\frac{\|\chi_S\|_{L^{q'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{q'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \leq C\Big(\frac{|S|}{|B|}\Big)^{\delta_1}, \quad \frac{\|\chi_S\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \leq C\Big(\frac{|S|}{|B|}\Big)^{\delta_2} \tag{3.1}$$

holds for all balls B in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and all measurable subsets $S\subset B$. And when $q_1(\cdot), q_2(\cdot)\in\mathscr{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, applying Lemma 2.2, we can take constants $\delta_3\in(0,1/(q_2')_+), \delta_4\in(0,1/(q_1)_+)$ such that

$$\frac{\|\chi_S\|_{L^{q_1'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{q_1'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \leq C\Big(\frac{|S|}{|B|}\Big)^{\delta_3}, \quad \frac{\|\chi_S\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_B\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \leq C\Big(\frac{|S|}{|B|}\Big)^{\delta_4} \tag{3.2}$$

holds for all balls B in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and all measurable subsets $S \subset B$.

In this section, we will give some size condition which are more general than (1.1), and prove the boundedness of some sublinear operators, satisfying this size condition on Herz-Morrey spaces with variable exponent. This size condition is satisfied by many important operators in harmonic analysis. Our main result can be stated as follows.

Theorem 3.1 Let $q(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies conditions (2.1) and (2.2) in Proposition 2.1, and $0 < p < \infty$, $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda - n\delta_2 < \alpha < \lambda + n\delta_1$, where $\delta_1 \in (0, 1/(q')_+)$ and $\delta_2 \in (0, 1/(q)_+)$ are the constants satisfying (3.1). Suppose that a sublinear operator T satisfies

(i) T is bounded on $L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$;

(ii) for suitable function f with $\operatorname{supp} f \subset A_k$ and $|x| \geq 2^{k+1}$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$|Tf(x)| \leq C|x|^{-n}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}; \tag{3.3}$$

(iii) for suitable function f with $\operatorname{supp} f \subset A_k$ and $|x| \leq 2^{k-2}$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$|Tf(x)| \leq C2^{-kn}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{3.4}$$

Then T is also bounded on $M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Note that if T satisfies the size condition (1.1), then T satisfies (3.3) and (3.4). Thus, by Theorem 3.1, we have

Corollary 3.1 Let $q(\cdot)$, p, λ, α, δ_1 and δ_2 be the same as in Theorem 3.1. If a sublinear operator T satisfies the size condition (1.1) and is bounded on $L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then T is also bounded on $M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 1 For any $q(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies conditions (2.1) and (2.2) in Proposition 2.1, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M, defined by

$$M(f)(x) = \sup_{B \ni x} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B \cap \Omega} |f(y)| \mathrm{d}y,$$

also satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, where the supremum is taken over all balls B containing x, and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open set.

Proof (*Proof of Theorem 3.1*) For all $f \in M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If we denote $f_j := f \cdot \chi_j = f \cdot \chi_{A_j}$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we can write

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \cdot \chi_j(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_j(x).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Tf\|^p_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p}\|T(f) \cdot \chi_k\|^p_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big) \\
&\leq C \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p}\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2} \|T(f_j) \cdot \chi_k\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^p\Big) \\
&\quad + C \sup_{k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p}\Big\|T\Big(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} f_j\Big) \cdot \chi_k\Big\|^p_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+C\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda p}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}2^{k\alpha p}\Big(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\|T(f_j)\cdot\chi_k\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^p\Big)\\
&=C(E_1+E_2+E_3).
\end{aligned}
$$

First we estimate E_2. Applying the $L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$-boundedness of T, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_2 &= \sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda p}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}2^{k\alpha p}\Big\|T\Big(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}f_j\Big)\cdot\chi_k\Big\|^p_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)\\
&\le C\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda p}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}2^{k\alpha p}\Big\|\Big(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}f_j\Big)\cdot\chi_k\Big\|^p_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)\\
&\le C\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda p}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}2^{k\alpha p}\|f\cdot\chi_k\|^p_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)\\
&= C\|f\|^p_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

For E_1, we notice the facts that $j\le k-2$ and a. e. $x\in A_k$ with $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, then using the size condition (3.3) and the generalized Hölder's inequality(see (2.3) in Lemma 2.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|T(f_j)(x)|&\le C|x|^{-n}\|f_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le C2^{-kn}\|f_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\le C2^{-kn}\|f_j\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_j\|_{L^{q'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.5}
$$

Using Proposition 2.1, Proposition 2.2, Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3 and (3.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{-kn}\|\chi_k\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_j\|_{L^{q'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}&\le 2^{-kn}\|\chi_{B_k}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{q'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\le C\|\chi_{B_k}\|^{-1}_{L^{q'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{q'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&= C\frac{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{q'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_{B_k}\|_{L^{q'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}\le C2^{(j-k)n\delta_1}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.6}
$$

On the other hand, note the following fact

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f_j\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}&=2^{-j\alpha}\Big(2^{j\alpha p}\|f_j\|^p_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{1/p}\\
&\le 2^{-j\alpha}\Big(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{j}2^{i\alpha p}\|f_i\|^p_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{1/p}\\
&= 2^{j(\lambda-\alpha)}\Big(2^{-j\lambda}\Big(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{j}2^{i\alpha p}\|f_i\|^p_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{1/p}\Big)\\
&\le C2^{j(\lambda-\alpha)}\|f\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.7}
$$

Therefore, combining (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7), and using $\alpha < \lambda + n\delta_1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 &\le C \sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p}\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{-kn}\|f_j\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_j\|_{L^{q'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_k\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^p\Big)\\
&\le C \sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p}\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{(j-k)n\delta_1}\|f_j\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^p\Big)\\
&\le C \sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p}\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{(j-k)n\delta_1}2^{j(\lambda-\alpha)}\|f\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^p\Big)\\
&\le C\|f\|^p_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\lambda p}\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2} 2^{(j-k)(n\delta_1+\lambda-\alpha)}\Big)^p\Big)\\
&\le C\|f\|^p_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\lambda p}\Big) \le C\|f\|^p_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let us estimate E_3. For every $j \ge k+2$ and a. e. $x \in A_k$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, applying the size condition (3.4) and the generalized Hölder's inequality(see (2.3) in Lemma 2.1), we have

$$|T(f_j)(x)| \le C2^{-jn}\|f_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C2^{-jn}\|f_j\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_j\|_{L^{q'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{3.8}$$

Using Proposition 2.1, Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3 and (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{-jn}\|\chi_k\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_j\|_{L^{q'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\le 2^{-jn}\|\chi_{B_k}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{q'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\le C\|\chi_{B_k}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_{B_j}\|^{-1}_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&= C\frac{\|\chi_{B_k}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \le C2^{(k-j)n\delta_2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Thus, combining (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9), and using $\alpha > \lambda - n\delta_2$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
E_3 &= \sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p}\Big(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} \|T(f_j)\cdot\chi_k\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^p\Big)\\
&\le C \sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p}\Big(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{-jn}\|f_j\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_j\|_{L^{q'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_k\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^p\Big)\\
&\le C \sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p}\Big(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{(k-j)n\delta_2}\|f_j\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^p\Big)\\
&\le C \sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p}\Big(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{(k-j)n\delta_2}2^{j(\lambda-\alpha)}\|f\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^p\Big)\\
&\le C\|f\|^p_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\lambda p}\Big(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{(j-k)(\lambda-\alpha-n\delta_2)}\Big)^p\Big)\\
&\le C\|f\|^p_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\lambda p}\Big) \le C\|f\|^p_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates for E_1, E_2 and E_3 yields

$$\|Tf\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|f\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p,q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and then completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Now, let us turn to consider the fractional singular integrals. We first have the following theorem similar to 3.1.

Theorem 3.2 Let $q_1(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies conditions (2.1) and (2.2) in Proposition 2.1. Define the variable exponent $q_2(\cdot)$ by

$$\frac{1}{q_1(x)} - \frac{1}{q_2(x)} = \frac{\beta}{n}.$$

And let $0 < p_1 \le p_2 < \infty,\ \lambda > 0,\ 0 < \beta < n/(q_1)_+,\ \lambda - n\delta_4 < \alpha < \lambda + n\delta_3$, where $\delta_3 \in (0, 1/(q_1')_+)$ and $\delta_4 \in (0, 1/(q_2)_+)$ are the constants appearing in (3.2). Suppose that a sublinear operator T_β satisfies

(i) T_β maps from $L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$;

(ii) for any function f with $\operatorname{supp} f \subset A_k$ and any $|x| \ge 2^{k+1}$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$|T_\beta(f)(x)| \le C|x|^{\beta-n}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}; \tag{3.10}$$

(iii) for any function f with $\operatorname{supp} f \subset A_k$ and any $|x| \le 2^{k-2}$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$|T_\beta(f)(x)| \le C2^{k(\beta-n)}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{3.11}$$

Then $T_\beta(f)$ is bounded from $M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p_1,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p_2,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Notice that if $T_\beta(f)$ satisfies the size condition

$$|T_\beta(f)(x)| \le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-\beta}}\mathrm{d}y, \quad x \notin \operatorname{supp} f \tag{3.12}$$

for any integrable function f with compact support, then $T_\beta(f)$ obviously satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.2. Therefore, by Theorem 3.2, we have

Corollary 3.2 Let $q_1(\cdot),\ q_2(\cdot),\ p_1,\ p_2,\ \lambda,\ \beta,\ \alpha,\ \delta_3$ and δ_4 be the same as in Theorem 3.2. If a sublinear operator T_β satisfies the size condition (3.12) and is bounded from $L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then T_β is also bounded from $M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p_1,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p_2,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 2 We can see that when $\beta = 0$, Theorem 3.2 is just Theorem 3.1. In particular, if $T_\beta(f)$ is a (standard) fractional integral, then $T_\beta(f)$ obviously satisfies (3.12). The fractional maximal function $M_\beta(f)$, defined by

$$M_\beta(f)(x) = \sup_{B \ni x} \frac{1}{|B|^{1-\beta/n}} \int_{B\cap\Omega} |f(y)|\mathrm{d}y,$$

also satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.2, where the supremum is again taken over all balls B which contain x, and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open set.

Proof (*Proof of Theorem 3.2*) For all $f \in M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p_1,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If we denote $f_j := f \cdot \chi_j = f \cdot \chi_{A_j}$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we can write

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)\cdot\chi_j(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_j(x).$$

Because of $0 < p_1/p_2 \le 1$, we apply inequality

$$\Big(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_i|\Big)^{p_1/p_2} \le \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_i|^{p_1/p_2}, \tag{3.13}$$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_\beta(f)\|^{p_1}_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p_2,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p_1}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p_2}\|T_\beta(f)\cdot\chi_k\|^{p_2}_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{p_1/p_2}\\
&\le C\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p_1}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p_1}\|T_\beta(f)\cdot\chi_k\|^{p_1}_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)\\
&\le C\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p_1}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p_1}\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}\|T_\beta(f_j)\cdot\chi_k\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{p_1}\Big)\\
&\quad +C\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p_1}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p_1}\Big\|T_\beta\Big(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} f_j\Big)\cdot\chi_k\Big\|^{p_1}_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)\\
&\quad +C\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p_1}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p_1}\Big(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\|T_\beta(f_j)\cdot\chi_k\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{p_1}\Big)\\
&= C(E_1+E_2+E_3).
\end{aligned}$$

For E_2, using the boundedness of T_β from $L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E_2 &= \sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p_1}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p_1}\Big\|T_\beta\Big(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} f_j\Big)\cdot\chi_k\Big\|^{p_1}_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)\\
&\le C\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p_1}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p_1}\Big\|\Big(\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} f_j\Big)\cdot\chi_k\Big\|^{p_1}_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)\\
&\le C\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p_1}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p_1}\|f\cdot\chi_k\|^{p_1}_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)\\
&= C\|f\|^{p_1}_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p_1,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For E_1. Note that $j\le k-2$ and a. e. $x\in A_k$ with $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, then using the size condition (3.10) and the generalized Hölder's inequality(see (2.3) in Lemma 2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|T_\beta(f_j)(x)| &\le C|x|^{\beta-n}\|f_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C2^{k(\beta-n)}\|f_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\le C2^{k(\beta-n)}\|f_j\|_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_j\|_{L^{q_1'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

Using Proposition 2.1, Proposition 2.2, Proposition 2.4, Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3 and (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{k(\beta-n)}\|\chi_k\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_j\|_{L^{q_1'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\le C2^{-kn}2^{k\beta}\|\chi_{B_k}\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{q_1'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\le C2^{-kn}\|\chi_{B_k}\|_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{q_1'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\le C\|\chi_{B_k}\|^{-1}_{L^{q_1'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{q_1'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&= C\frac{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{q_1'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_{B_k}\|_{L^{q_1'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \le C2^{(j-k)n\delta_3}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.15}$$

On the other hand, note the following fact

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_j\|_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= 2^{-j\alpha}\Big(2^{j\alpha p_1}\|f_j\|^{p_1}_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{1/p_1}\\ &\le 2^{-j\alpha}\Big(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{j}2^{i\alpha p_1}\|f_i\|^{p_1}_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{1/p_1}\\ &= 2^{j(\lambda-\alpha)}\Big(2^{-j\lambda}\Big(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{j}2^{i\alpha p_1}\|f_i\|^{p_1}_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{1/p_1}\Big)\\ &\le C2^{j(\lambda-\alpha)}\|f\|_{M\dot K^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p_1,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

Hence, combining (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16), and using $\alpha<\lambda+n\delta_3$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}E_1 &= \sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda p_1}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}2^{k\alpha p_1}\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}\|T_\beta(f_j)\cdot\chi_k\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{p_1}\Big)\\ &\le C\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda p_1}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}2^{k\alpha p_1}\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}2^{k(\beta-n)}\|f_j\|_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_j\|_{L^{q_1'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_k\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{p_1}\Big)\\ &\le C\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda p_1}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}2^{k\alpha p_1}\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}2^{(j-k)n\delta_3}\|f_j\|_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{p_1}\Big)\\ &\le C\|f\|^{p_1}_{M\dot K^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p_1,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda p_1}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}2^{k\alpha p_1}\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}2^{(j-k)n\delta_3}2^{j(\lambda-\alpha)}\Big)^{p_1}\Big)\\ &\le C\|f\|^{p_1}_{M\dot K^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p_1,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda p_1}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}2^{k\lambda p_1}\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}2^{(j-k)(\lambda-\alpha+n\delta_3)}\Big)^{p_1}\Big)\\ &\le C\|f\|^{p_1}_{M\dot K^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p_1,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{-k_0\lambda p_1}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}2^{k\lambda p_1}\Big)\le C\|f\|^{p_1}_{M\dot K^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p_1,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, let us estimate E_3. For every $j\ge k+2$ and a. e. $x\in A_k$ with $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, applying the size condition (3.11) and the generalized Hölder's inequality(see (2.3) in Lemma 2.1), we have

$$|T_\beta(f_j)(x)|\le C2^{j(\beta-n)}\|f_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le C2^{j(\beta-n)}\|f_j\|_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_j\|_{L^{q_1'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\tag{3.17}$$

Using Proposition 2.1, Proposition 2.2, Proposition 2.4, Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3 and (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}2^{j(\beta-n)}\|\chi_k\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_j\|_{L^{q_1'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\le 2^{j(\beta-n)}\|\chi_{B_k}\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{q_1'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\le C\|\chi_{B_k}\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\cdot 2^{j\beta}2^{-jn}\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{q_1'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\le C\|\chi_{B_k}\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\cdot 2^{j\beta}\|\chi_{B_j}\|^{-1}_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\le C\|\chi_{B_k}\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\cdot\|\chi_{B_j}\|^{-1}_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &= C\frac{\|\chi_{B_k}\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_{B_j}\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}\le C2^{(k-j)n\delta_4}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.18}$$

Therefore, combining (3.16), (3.17) and (3.18), and using $\alpha > \lambda - n\delta_4$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_3 &= \sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p_1}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p_1}\Big(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}\|T_\beta(f_j)\cdot\chi_k\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{p_1}\Big)\\
&\le C\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p_1}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p_1}\Big(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}2^{j(\beta-n)}\|f_j\|_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_j\|_{L^{q_1'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\chi_k\|_{L^{q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{p_1}\Big)\\
&\le C\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p_1}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p_1}\Big(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}2^{(k-j)n\delta_4}\|f_j\|_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{p_1}\Big)\\
&\le C\|f\|^{p_1}_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p_1,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p_1}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\alpha p_1}\Big(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}2^{(k-j)n\delta_4}2^{j(\lambda-\alpha)}\Big)^{p_1}\Big)\\
&\le C\|f\|^{p_1}_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p_1,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p_1}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\lambda p_1}\Big(\sum_{j=k+2}^{\infty}2^{(k-j)(\alpha-\lambda+n\delta_4)}\Big)^{p_1}\Big)\\
&\le C\|f\|^{p_1}_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p_1,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\sup_{k_0\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k_0\lambda p_1}\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{k\lambda p_1}\Big) \le C\|f\|^{p_1}_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p_1,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the estimates for E_1, E_2 and E_3, we conclude that

$$\|T_\beta(f)\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p_2,q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|f\|_{M\dot{K}^{\alpha,\lambda}_{p_1,q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and then completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Remark 3 It is easy to see that when $\lambda = 0$, the above results are also true on the Herz space with variable exponent, and containing some main results for [14].

Acknowledgments The author cordially thank the referees for their valuable suggestions and useful comments which have lead to the improvement of this paper. This work was supported by the Project (No. SY201313) of Mudanjiang Normal University and the Research Project (No.12531720) for Department of Education of Heilongjiang Province.

References

[1] A. Almeida and D. Drihem, Maximal, potential and singular type operators on Herz spaces with variable exponents, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **394** (2012), no. 2, 781–795.

[2] A. Almeida, J. Hasanov and S. Samko, Maximal and potential operators in variable exponent Morrey spaces, *Georgian Math. J.* **15** (2008), no. 2, 195–208.

[3] C. Capone, D. Cruz-Uribe and A. Fiorenza, The fractional maximal operator and fractional integrals on variable L^p spaces, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **23** (2007), no. 3, 743–770.

[4] D. Cruz-Uribe, L. Diening and A. Fiorenza,A new proof of the boundedness of maximal operators on variable Lebesgue spaces, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. (9)* **2** (2009), no. 1, 151–173.

[5] D. Cruz-Uribe, A. Fiorenza, J. M. Martell and C. Pérez,The boundedness of classical operators on variable L^p spaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **31** (2006), no. 1, 239–264.

[6] D. Cruz-Uribe, A. Fiorenza and C. J. Neugebauer,The maximal function on variable L^p spaces,*Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **28** (2003), no. 1, 223–238.

[7] L. Diening,Riesz potential and Sobolev embeddings on generalized Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}$ and $W^{k,p(\cdot)}$, *Math. Nachr.* **268** (2004), 31–43.

[8] L. Diening,Maximal function on Musielak-Orlicz spaces and generalized Lebesgue spaces,*Bull. Sci. Math.* **129** (2005), no. 8, 657–700.

[9] L. Diening,Maximal function on generalized Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}$,*Math. Inequal. Appl.* **7** (2004), no. 2, 245–253.

[10] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, Y. Mizuta and T. Shimomura,Maximal functions in variable exponent spaces: limiting cases of the exponent,*Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **34** (2009), no. 2, 503–522.

[11] E. Hernández and D. Yang,Interpolation of Herz spaces and applications,*Math. Nachr.* **205** (1999), 69–87.

[12] M. Izuki,Fractional integrals on Herz–Morrey spaces with variable exponent,*Hiroshima Math. J.* **40** (2010), no. 3, 343–355.

[13] M. Izuki,Boundedness of vector-valued sublinear operators on Herz–Morrey spaces with variable exponent,*Math. Sci. Res. J.* **13** (2009), no. 10, 243–253.

[14] M. Izuki,Boundedness of sublinear operators on Herz spaces with variable exponent and application to wavelet characterization,*Anal. Math.* **36** (2010), no. 1, 33–50.

[15] T. Kopaliani,Infimal convolution and Muckenhoupt $A_{p(\cdot)}$ condition in variable L^p spaces,*Arch. Math. (Basel)* **89** (2007), no. 2, 185–192.

[16] V. Kokilashvili and A. Meskhi,Boundedness of maximal and singular operators in Morrey spaces with variable exponent,*Armen. J. Math.* **1** (2008), no. 1, 18–28.

[17] V. Kokilashvili and A. Meskhi,Maximal functions and potentials in variable exponent Morrey spaces with non-doubling measure,Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 55 (2010), no. 8-10, 923–936.

[18] O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník,On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}$,*Czechoslovak Math. J.* **41(116)** (1991), no. 4, 592–618.

[19] A. K. Lerner,On some questions related to the maximal operator on variable L^p spaces,*Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362** (2010), no. 8, 4229–4242.

[20] X. Li and D. Yang,Boundedness of some sublinear operators on Herz spaces,*Illinois J. Math.* **40** (1996), no. 3, 484–501.

[21] S. Lu and L. Xu,Boundedness of rough singular integral operators on the homogeneous Morrey-Herz spaces,*Hokkaido Math. J.* **34** (2005), no. 2, 299–314.

[22] S. Lu and D. Yang,The decomposition of weighted Herz space on $\mathbf{R}^n$ and its applications,*Sci. China Ser. A* **38** (1995), no. 2, 147–158.

[23] A. Nekvinda,Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on $L^{p(x)}(\mathbb{R})$, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **7** (2004), no. 2, 255–265.

[24] L. Pick and M. Ružička, An example of a space $L^{p(x)}$ on which the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is not bounded, *Expo. Math.* **19** (2001), no. 4, 369–371.